

### Fort Atkinson District Report Card | 2012-13 | Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>
Score and Rating
$\sim$
72.5
12.5
۲
Meets Expectations

<b>Overall Accountability Ratings</b>	Score
Significantly Exceeds	83-100
Expectations	
Exceeds	73-82.9
Expectations	
Meets	63-72.9
Expectations	
Meets Few	53-62.9
Expectations	
Fails to Meet	0-52.9
Expectations	


#### **District Information**

Grades	K4-12
Locale	Town
Enrollment	3,042
Race/Ethnicity	
American Indian	
or Alaska Native	0.4%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.4%
Black not Hispanic	2.0%
Hispanic	11.9%
White not Hispanic	84.3%
Student Groups	
Students with Disabilities	14.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	34.1%
Limited English Proficient	5.2%

	District Max	State Max
Priority Areas	Score Score	Score Score
Student Achievement	69.9/100	66.1/100
Reading Achievement	31.1/50	29.7/50
Mathematics Achievement	38.8/50	36.5/50
Student Growth	65.3/100	60.6/100
Reading Growth	32.7/50	29.9/50
Mathematics Growth	32.6/50	30.7/50
Closing Gaps	67.9/100	66.8/100
Reading Achievement Gaps	17.7/25	16.8/25
Mathematics Achievement Gaps	19.7/25	16.3/25
Graduation Rate Gaps	30.5/50	33.7/50
Graddation Nate Gaps	50.5750	55.7750
On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness	86.8/100	84.9/100
Graduation Rate	37.0/40	35.8/40
Attendance Rate	37.0/40	37.1/40
3rd Grade Reading Achievement	3.0/5	2.8/5
8th Grade Mathematics Achievement	3.8/5	3.5/5
ACT Participation and Performance	6.0/10	5.7/10

# Student Engagement IndicatorsTotal Deductions: 0Test Participation Lowest Group Rate (goal ≥95%)Goal met: no deductionAbsenteeism Rate (goal <13%)</td>Goal met: no deductionDropout Rate (goal <6%)</td>Goal met: no deduction

Wisconsin Student Assessment System Percent Proficient and Advanced Includes Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment for Students with Disabilities (WAA-SwD). WKCE college and career readiness benchmarks based on National Assessment of Educational Progress. State proficiency rate is for all tested grades: 3-8 and 10



**Notes:** Overall Accountability Score is an average of Priority Area Scores, minus Student Engagement Indicator deductions. The average is weighted differently for districts that cannot be measured with all Priority Area Scores, to ensure that the Overall Accountability Score can be compared fairly for all districts. Accountability Ratings do not apply to Priority Area Scores. Details can be found at <a href="http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability">http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</a> .

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



### Fort Atkinson District Report Card | 2012-13 | Notes

#### **Priority Areas**

- Student Achievement measures the level of knowledge and skills among students in the district, compared to state and national standards. It includes a composite of reading and mathematics performance by the "all students" group in the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) for all tested grades in the district.
- Student Growth describes how much student knowledge of reading and mathematics in the district changes from year to year. It uses a point system that gives positive credit for students progressing toward higher performance levels, and negative credit for students declining below proficiency.
- Closing Gaps shows how the performance of student groups experiencing statewide gaps in achievement and graduation is improving in the district. It recognizes the importance of having all students improve, while focusing on the need to close gaps by lifting lower-performing groups. Specific race/ethnicity groups, students with disabilities, economically disadvantaged students, and English language learners are compared against their complementary groups at the state level.
- On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness indicates the success of students in the district in achieving educational milestones that predict postsecondary success. It includes the graduation rate and the attendance rate, as applicable to the district. It also includes measures of third-grade reading and eighthgrade mathematics achievement, and ACT participation and performance, as applicable to the district.

#### **Student Engagement Indicators**

Student Engagement Indicators are measures outside the four Priority Areas that affect student success or the soundness of the report card. Each indicator has a goal, and districts that fail to meet that goal receive a point deduction from their Overall Accountability Score. Goals were set by looking at statewide data and establishing thresholds that identify districts contributing the most to lowering Wisconsin's overall performance in the areas helow

- Test Participation Rate: Every district has a goal of 95 percent participation in the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS). The district's performance is measured by the participation rate of the lowest-participating student group. If this rate is less than 95 percent, but at least 85 percent, five points are deducted from the district's overall score; if this rate is less than 85 percent, 10 points are deducted.
- Absenteeism Rate: This indicator describes the proportion of students in the district who attend school less than 84.1 percent of the time. If the absenteeism rate in the district is 13 percent or more, five points are deducted. The absenteeism rate is different from the attendance rate because it measures students who are absent from school a certain amount of time, not how often students are present in school.
- Dropout Rate: The goal for all districts is to have a dropout rate of less than six percent. A district not meeting the goal has five points deducted from its score. Note that dropout rate is not the opposite of graduation rate. A dropout rate includes any student who leaves school in grades 7-12 without expecting to earn a high school diploma, while a graduation rate counts students who earn a high school diploma within a certain time (four or six years) after starting ninth grade.

#### Notes on this District Report Card

- The data presented in this report card are for public, state, and federal accountability purposes.
- Student performance on the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) is the foundation of this report. WSAS data include results for both the Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and the Wisconsin Alternate Assessment for Students with Disabilities (WAA-SwD).
- Starting in 2011-12, districts are held to a higher college and career readiness proficiency benchmark by aligning the cut scores of the WKCE to those of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). These higher cut scores have been retroactively applied to show trends. The higher cut scores only apply to WKCE Reading and Mathematics scores, not the WAA-SwD, at this time.
- Some supplemental data that are not used for accountability calculations are presented in this report card for informational purposes in order to provide context. Additional data on student performance are available here: http://winss.dpi.wi.gov/.
- To protect student privacy, data for groups of fewer than 20 students are replaced by asterisks on public report cards.
- NA is used when data are Not Applicable. For example, a district that does not graduate students will have NA listed for graduation results.
- The analytical processes used in this report card are described in the Technical Guide and Interpretive Guide: http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability.
- State comparison scores shown on page 1 are shown for context only. They are not used to determine this district's score or rating.
- In the future, the District Report Card will be web-based and will allow readers to click on features for more supplementary data.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



### District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | School Performance

### **School Performance**

### **Supplemental Data**

School performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine district accountability scores.

#### Summary of Overall Accountability Ratings for Schools in the District

Rating Category	Number of Schools	Percent of Schools
Significantly Exceeds Expectations	1	16.7%
Exceeds Expectations	3	50.0%
Meets Expectations	2	33.3%
Meets Few Expectations	0	0.0%
Fails to Meet Expectations	0	0.0%
Not Rated	0	0.0%

#### Summary of Priority Area Scores for Schools in the District

Includes Only Schools Receiving a Rating

Priority Area	Low Score	Average Score	High Score	Maximum Score
Overall Accountability	71.4	75.5	87.0	100
Student Achievement	65.9	70.6	76.7	100
Student Growth	57.4	70.0	88.2	100
Closing Gaps	54.9	72.9	92.3	100
On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness	86.0	88.7	91.1	100

#### Summary of Student Engagement Indicator Deductions for Schools in the District

Includes Only Schools Receiving a Rating

Number of Deductions	Number of Schools	Percent of Schools
Zero	6	100.0%
One	0	0.0%
Two	0	0.0%
Three	0	0.0%

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



# District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Mobility

### **District Mobility**

### **Supplemental Data**

Mobility information is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine district accountability scores.

#### **District Mobility**

There are four types of mobility: new school, closed school, within district, and new to district. Within district mobile students are those who are enrolled for a full academic year in the district but not in one school.

	Total Non-Mobile Mobile Student Enrollment Students		Students		School nt Year)		School Year)	Mobile (	District Not New d School)	New to District		
Group	Count	Count	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
All Students	2813	2589	224	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	0.6%	206	7.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	11	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%
Asian or Pacific Islander	43	38	5	11.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	11.6%
Black not Hispanic	55	45	10	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%	9	16.4%
Hispanic	328	291	37	11.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	36	11.0%
White not Hispanic	2375	2204	171	7.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	0.7%	155	6.5%
Students with Disabilities	404	362	42	10.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	1.5%	36	8.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	1029	905	124	12.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	1.2%	112	10.9%
Limited English Proficient	157	141	16	10.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	15	9.6%

#### Percent Proficient and Advanced for Mobile and Non-Mobile Students

	Non-Mobile Students		Mobile Students		New School (Current Year)		Closed School (Prior Year)		Within District Mobile (Not New or Closed School)		New to District	
Group	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math
All Students	40.0%	53.9%	29.7%	26.7%	NA	NA	NA	NA	40.0%	30.0%	28.6%	26.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	18.2%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%
Black not Hispanic	17.6%	35.3%	14.3%	14.3%	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%
Hispanic	15.0%	45.8%	10.5%	15.8%	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	16.7%
White not Hispanic	44.3%	55.3%	37.5%	31.9%	NA	NA	NA	NA	50.0%	37.5%	35.9%	31.3%
Students with Disabilities	20.6%	30.9%	25.0%	16.7%	NA	NA	NA	NA	50.0%	50.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	24.9%	42.1%	26.5%	22.1%	NA	NA	NA	NA	42.9%	28.6%	24.6%	21.3%
Limited English Proficient	1.4%	35.6%	0.0%	10.0%	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



### District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Student Achievement

### **Student Achievement**

#### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to indicate how the level of knowledge and skills for students in the district compares against state and national standards.

#### What is being measured?

This measure is a composite of reading and mathematics performance-level profiles for the "all students" group in the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) for all tested grades. The score is based on how a district's students are distributed across the four WSAS performance levels, and it takes three years worth of test data into account.

#### What can the report card data tell us?

Beyond a district-wide score for Student Achievement, the report card shows the distribution of students across the four WSAS performance levels for the most recent three years.

Readers can use these data to compare this district against the state average and to see if the data reveal any short-term trends. Districts can use this information to help develop overall achievement goals to guide improvement efforts.

These data are also broken out by groups of students. Readers can evaluate the impact of group performance on overall district performance. They can identify particular groups of students who are having trouble or doing well.

#### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See: <u>http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</u>.

#### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for subgroups, or both?

The Student Achievement score is based on the "all students" group, not student subgroups.



District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Student Achievement

### **Student Achievement**

### Total Score: 69.9/100

#### Reading Achievement Score: 31.1/50

			2010-11			2011-12		2012-13			
Performance	Points	Students			Stud	dents		Stuc			
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	
Advanced	1.5	65	5.1%	97.5	87	6.6%	130.5	93	7.1%	139.5	
Proficient	1.0	392	30.6%	392	449	34.1%	449	434	33.0%	434	
Basic	0.5	556	43.4%	278	473	35.9%	236.5	536	40.7%	268	
Minimal Performance	0.0	267	20.9%	0	309	23.4%	0	254	19.3%	0	
Total Tested	-	1280	100.0%	767.5	1318	100.0%	816	1317	100.0%	841.5	

#### Mathematics Achievement Score: 38.8/50

			2010-11			2011-12		2012-13			
Performance	Points	Stud	dents		Stuc	lents		Stud			
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	
Advanced	1.5	142	11.1%	213	176	13.4%	264	162	12.3%	243	
Proficient	1.0	522	40.7%	522	529	40.3%	529	545	41.4%	545	
Basic	0.5	474	37.0%	237	458	34.9%	229	489	37.1%	244.5	
Minimal Performance	0.0	144	11.2%	0	149	11.4%	0	121	9.2%	0	
Total Tested	-	1282	100.0%	972	1312	100.0%	1022	1317	100.0%	1032.5	

#### Notes

- Details on student achievement calculations can be found at <a href="http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability">http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</a>.
- Student achievement is based on Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) results for full academic year (FAY) students in all tested grades in the district.
- This report shows student performance in mathematics and reading in English.
- Points displayed in the tables above are weighted so that higher performance levels, larger numbers of students, and more recent years contribute more to the score for the Priority Area.
- Performance levels have been retroactively adjusted to align WKCE (but not WAA-SwD) results with National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) college and career readiness benchmarks. Counts and percents of students scoring at each performance level will not agree with results reported prior to 2011-12.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Student Achievement

### **Student Achievement**

### **Supplemental Data**

Group performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine the Student Achievement scores used in the accountability system.

	Reading Supplemental Data														
			<b>2010-1</b> 1			2011-12					2012-13				
Group	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Minimal Performance	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Minimal Performance	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Minimal Performance
All Students: State	430,114	5.4%	30.3%	39.4%	24.9%	428,535	6.0%	30.0%	37.8%	26.1%	429,981	5.6%	30.8%	39.1%	24.5%
All Students: District	1,280	5.1%	30.6%	43.4%	20.9%	1,318	6.6%	34.1%	35.9%	23.4%	1,317	7.1%	33.0%	40.7%	19.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	7	0.0%	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%	2	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	17	0.0%	17.6%	52.9%	29.4%	17	5.9%	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%	22	9.1%	9.1%	50.0%	31.8%
Black not Hispanic	20	5.0%	10.0%	40.0%	45.0%	20	10.0%	10.0%	35.0%	45.0%	16	6.3%	12.5%	37.5%	43.8%
Hispanic	136	2.2%	14.7%	47.1%	36.0%	136	1.5%	20.6%	36.0%	41.9%	154	0.6%	14.3%	52.6%	32.5%
White not Hispanic	1,102	5.5%	33.1%	42.8%	18.5%	1,138	7.2%	36.3%	35.7%	20.8%	1,123	7.9%	36.3%	38.8%	16.9%
Students with Disabilities	182	4.9%	9.9%	28.0%	57.1%	186	7.0%	9.7%	22.6%	60.8%	179	6.1%	15.1%	29.1%	49.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	472	3.6%	18.4%	46.6%	31.4%	502	4.4%	22.7%	38.0%	34.9%	499	4.2%	20.4%	45.7%	29.7%
Limited English Proficient	99	0.0%	6.1%	47.5%	46.5%	96	1.0%	9.4%	39.6%	50.0%	106	0.9%	7.5%	50.0%	41.5%

#### Mathematics Supplemental Data

		2	2010-1:		inema			2011-12			2012-13					
Group	Total Enrolled	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Minimal Performance	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Minimal Performance	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Minimal Performance	
All Students: State	431,119	10.2%	36.6%	37.0%	16.2%	429,492	10.8%	37.5%	35.9%	15.7%	430,405	11.2%	37.1%	36.0%	15.8%	
All Students: District	1,282	11.1%	40.7%	37.0%	11.2%	1,312	13.4%	40.3%	34.9%	11.4%	1,317	12.3%	41.4%	37.1%	9.2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	7	0.0%	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	2	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	17	17.6%	47.1%	23.5%	11.8%	17	11.8%	47.1%	23.5%	17.6%	22	9.1%	40.9%	36.4%	13.6%	
Black not Hispanic	20	5.0%	5.0%	70.0%	20.0%	20	5.0%	10.0%	75.0%	10.0%	16	12.5%	25.0%	43.8%	18.8%	
Hispanic	136	4.4%	27.9%	50.7%	16.9%	136	5.1%	33.1%	39.7%	22.1%	154	7.8%	37.7%	42.2%	12.3%	
White not Hispanic	1,104	12.0%	42.8%	34.8%	10.4%	1,132	14.7%	41.7%	33.7%	10.0%	1,123	13.0%	42.1%	36.3%	8.5%	
Students with Disabilities	183	8.2%	18.0%	33.3%	40.4%	181	7.7%	15.5%	33.7%	43.1%	179	7.8%	23.5%	38.0%	30.7%	
Economically Disadvantaged	474	7.2%	29.1%	47.5%	16.2%	499	8.2%	30.3%	43.5%	18.0%	499	8.8%	33.1%	42.5%	15.6%	
Limited English Proficient	100	2.0%	23.0%	54.0%	21.0%	96	3.1%	27.1%	42.7%	27.1%	106	7.5%	34.0%	40.6%	17.9%	

#### Notes

• Performance levels have been retroactively adjusted to align WKCE (not WAA-SwD) results with new college and career readiness benchmarks based on National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) performance levels. Counts and percents of students scoring at each performance level will not agree with results reported prior to 2011-12.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

Page 7



### District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Student Growth

### **Student Growth**

#### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to give districts a measure that summarizes how rapidly their students are gaining knowledge and skills from year to year. In contrast to Student Achievement, which is based on the levels of proficiency students have attained, Student Growth focuses on the pace of improvement in students' performance. Student Growth treats all improvement, regardless of a student's starting point, as a positive.

#### What is being measured?

This measure is based on a point system that rewards districts for students' progress toward higher performance levels from wherever they started. The point system also deducts points for students regressing toward performance below the proficient level.

The measure most rewards districts showing rapid upward movement and having many students who are progressing. Also, the measure rewards districts that are already doing well and are maintaining the high performance of their students.

#### What can the data tell us?

Measuring growth is an important complement to student achievement when assessing district performance. How well students are learning is reflected both by their level of attainment and by their rate of improvement. In some cases, a district's performance in Student Achievement could be quite different than its performance in Student Growth.

The report card also provides Student Growth data for groups of students. Readers can determine the impact of groups' growth performance on overall district growth performance. They can identify particular groups of students that are having trouble improving or that are improving rapidly.

#### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See <a href="http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability">http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</a>.

#### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for student groups, or both?

The Student Growth score is based on the "all students" group, not student subgroups.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Student Growth

### **Student Growth**

#### Growing Toward a Higher Level:

PUBLIC

INSTRUCTION

The bold/green cells show the count and percent of students who are on a trajectory to gain at least one performance level over the next three years. These students contribute to a higher Student Growth score. Students maintaining the advanced level also result in a higher score.

#### Declining Below Proficient:

The italicized/red cells show the count and percent of students who are on a trajectory to decline to the Minimal Performance or Basic level within the next year. These students result in a lower Student Growth score.

#### Notes:

• Details on student growth calculations can be found at

http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability.

- Student Growth is calculated separately for reading and mathematics.
- Student Growth can only be calculated for students who take the Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examinations (WKCE) in two consecutive years.
- Student Growth does not include students who take the Wisconsin Alternate Assessment for Students with Disabilities (WAA-SwD) because that assessment does not allow for similar growth calculations.
- Performance levels have been retroactively adjusted to align WKCE reading and mathematics results with college and career readiness benchmarks based on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) performance levels.

### Total Score: 65.3/100

#### Reading Growth Score: 32.7/50

Reading Growth Score is based on the students tested in consecutive grades in fall 2011 and fall 2012.

Count and Percent of Students Growing or Declining in Performance Level

		Three-Y	ear Growth	/Decline Tra	jectory
Starting	Level	Minimal Performance	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Minimal	234	136	73	16	9
Performance	26.6%	15.5%	8.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Desta	315	38	187	79	11
Basic	35.8%	4.3%	21.3%	9.0%	1.3%
Ducficient	270	1	70	163	36
Proficient	30.7%	0.1%	8.0%	18.5%	4.1%
Advanced	61	0	4	29	28
Advanced	6.9%	0.0%	0.5%	3.3%	3.2%

#### Mathematics Growth Score: 32.6/50

Mathematics Growth Score is based on the students tested in consecutive grades in fall 2011 and fall 2012.

Count and Percent of Students Growing or Declining in Performance Level

		Three-Y	ear Growth	/Decline Tra	jectory
Starting	Level	Minimal Performance	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Minimal	85	52	24	6	3
Performance	9.7%	5.9%	2.7%	0.7%	0.3%
Decia	314	31	197	77	9
Basic	35.9%	3.5%	22.5%	8.8%	1.0%
Dusfisiont	373	0	71	221	81
Proficient	42.7%	0.0%	8.1%	25.3%	9.3%
0 duo non d	102	0	1	32	69
Advanced	11.7%	0.0%	0.1%	3.7%	7.9%

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Student Growth

### **Student Growth**

### **Supplemental Data**

### **Student Growth Supplemental Data**

Group performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine the Student Growth scores used in the accountability system.

		R	eading			Mathematics						
	Students with Growth Data	Τον	Growing Toward Higher Level		lining low ficient	Students with Growth Data	То	wing ward er Level	Declining Below Proficient			
Group	Count	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Count	Percent	Count	Percent		
All Students: State	287,836	67,890	23.6%	23,656	8.2%	288,462	62,704	21.7%	24,157	8.4%		
All Students: District	880	224	25.5%	75	8.5%	874	200	22.9%	72	8.2%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Asian or Pacific Islander	11	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	11	3	27.3%	1	9.1%		
Black not Hispanic	9	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	9	2	22.2%	0	0.0%		
Hispanic	110	26	23.6%	10	9.1%	110	37	33.6%	8	7.3%		
White not Hispanic	749	191	25.5%	63	8.4%	743	158	21.3%	63	8.5%		
Students with Disabilities	116	31	26.7%	4	3.4%	111	25	22.5%	8	7.2%		
Economically Disadvantaged	336	78	23.2%	26	7.7%	333	79	23.7%	26	7.8%		
Limited English Proficient	77	19	24.7%	4	5.2%	77	27	35.1%	6	7.8%		

#### Notes

• Data in this table are based on students tested in consecutive grades in fall2011 and fall 2012.

- "Growing Toward Higher Level" means students starting below Advanced and growing on a trajectory toward a higher level over the next three years. They are represented in the bold/green cells in the tables on this page and page seven.
- "Declining Below Proficient" means students starting at or above Proficient and on a trajectory to decline below Proficient within the next year. They are represented in the italicized/red cells in the tables on this page and page seven.
- Growing Toward Higher Level Count and Declining Below Proficient Count will not sum to Students with Growth Data because students who are not growing toward a higher level or declining below proficient are not shown.



## District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Closing Gaps

### **Closing Gaps**

#### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to provide a measure that corresponds to the statewide goal of having all students improve, while narrowing the achievement and graduation gaps that may separate groups of students. This measure encourages district performance that lifts the performance of traditionally lagging student groups that will result in closing gaps.

#### What is being measured?

The growth in the proficiency rate of low-income students, English language learners, and students with disabilities are compared against the growth in the state rate for each comparison group. A supergroup (a group of 20 or more students that is comprised of at least two of the three target groups when those groups alone have fewer than 20 students) is used where applicable so that more districts with small group sizes are included in the accountability system. Black students, Hispanic students, Asian or Pacific Islander students, and American Indian or Alaska Native students are compared to White students.

Districts get credit for raising test scores and graduation rates for target groups. That is, districts are awarded points based on their contribution to the closing of statewide gaps. All progress for target groups is rewarded, regardless of how much the gap was reduced. The calculation does not reward gap-closing that is due to the declining performance of leading groups.

#### What can the data tell us?

This Priority Area shows whether the district is succeeding in helping lagging groups catch up. Closing Gaps scores can help explain whether factors affecting improved teaching and learning are affecting all groups equally.

#### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See: <u>http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</u>.

#### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for student groups, or both?

The Closing Gaps score is based on student subgroups, not the "all students" group.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



### Fort Atkinson District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Closing Gaps

### **Closing Gaps**

### Total Score: 67.9/100

#### Closing Achievement Gaps - Reading | Score: 17.7/25

District T	arget Gr	oup Rat	es:				State Comparison Gro	up Rat	:es		Gap	o in Ra	tes	
	E	nrollmen	t	Change Prof	in Point iciency				in Point ficiency l		2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Change
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2009-10 to 2010-11	2010-11 to 2011-12	2011-12 to 2012-13		2009-10 to 2010-11	2010-11 to 2011-12	2011-12 to 2012-13	0 to 2010-11	1 to 2011-12	2 to 2012-13	e Score
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White not Hispanic	0.70	0.444	0.00/	NA	NA	NA	NA
Black not Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White not hispanic	0.7%	-0.1%	0.9%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic	136	136	154	4.2%	-0.7%	0.8%					3.5%	-0.6%	-0.1%	0.007
Students with Disabilities	182	186	179	1.9%	0.2%	7.3%	Students without Disabilities	0.6%	-0.2%	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%	6.5%	0.03
Economically Disadvantaged	472	502	499	-0.5%	1.2%	1.3%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%	-1.4%	1.0%	0.6%	0.002
Limited English Proficient	99	96	106	2.5%	0.9%	3.3%	English Proficient	0.6%	-0.1%	1.0%	1.9%	1.0%	2.3%	0.018
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

#### Closing Achievement Gaps - Mathematics | Score: 19.7/25

District T	arget Gr	oup Rat	tes	1			State Comparison Gro	oup Rat	es		Gaj	o in Ra	tes	
	E	Inrollmen	t	Change Prof	in Poin iciency			•	in Point ficiency l		2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	Change
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2009-10 to 2010-11	2010-11 to 2011-12	2011-12 to 2012-13		2009-10 to 2010-11	2010-11 to 2011-12	2011-12 to 2012-13	0 to 2010-11	1 to 2011-12	2 to 2012-13	e Score
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White not Hispanic				NA	NA	NA	NA
Black not Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		0.4%	1.4%	0.4%	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic	136	136	154	2.0%	0.8%	9.8%					1.6%	-0.6%	9.4%	0.043
Students with Disabilities	183	181	179	9.2%	-3.1%	10.3%	Students without Disabilities	0.1%	1.2%	0.2%	9.1%	-4.3%	10.1%	0.05
Economically Disadvantaged	474	499	499	1.3%	0.7%	3.2%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%	0.4%	-0.8%	2.6%	0.009
Limited English Proficient	100	96	106	0.7%	0.1%	12.5%	English Proficient	0.2%	1.3%	0.3%	0.5%	-1.2%	12.2%	0.049
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

#### Notes

• Details on closing gaps calculations can be found at <a href="http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability">http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</a>.

- See "About Supergroups" on page 13 for a definition and descriptions of supergroups.
- Change and gap values may differ slightly from values calculated by subtracting within the table due to rounding.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



### Fort Atkinson District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Closing Gaps

### **Closing Gaps**

### Total Score: 67.9/100

#### Closing Graduation Gaps | Score: 30.5/50

District T	arget Gr	oup Rat	es:				State Comparison Gro	up Ra	tes		Ga	p in Ra	tes	
	E	nrollmen	t	Change	e in Grad Rate	luation		Chang	e in Grad Rate	luation	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	Change
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2008-09 to 2009-10	2009-10 to 2010-11	2010-11 to 2011-12		2008-09 to 2009-10	2009-10 to 2010-11	2010-11 to 2011-12	9 to 2009-10	0 to 2010-11	1 to 2011-12	e Score
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White not Hispanic				NA	NA	NA	NA
Black not Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	white not mspanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					NA	NA	NA	NA
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Students without Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Economically Disadvantaged	44	63	69	NA	-2.0%	-4.8%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	NA	1.4%	1.0%	NA	-3.4%	-5.8%	-0.049
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

#### Notes - This Page and Prior Page

• Details on Closing Gaps calculations can be found at <a href="http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability">http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</a>.

- Closing Graduation Gaps is based on the four-year cohort graduation rate only. Closing Graduation Gaps will be based on both
- four-year and six-year cohort graduation rates when two years of six-year graduation rate data become available.
- Closing Graduation Gaps is based on graduation data from prior years because current year data is not yet available. For example, 2011-12 report cards use 2009-10 and 2010-11 graduation data.
- For 2012-13, Closing Graduation Gaps is based on only two years of Change in Point-Based Proficiency Rate because only three years of graduation data are available. For 2013-14 and beyond Closing Graduation Gaps will be based on three years of Change in Point-Based Proficiency Rate.
- Change and gap values may differ slightly from values calculated by subtracting within the table due to rounding.
- Point-based proficiency rate is calculated by multiplying the number of advanced students by 1.5, proficient students by 1.0, basic students by 0.5 and minimal performance students by 0.0.
- Point-based proficiency rates for each year are not presented here due to space limitations. Only changes in point-based proficiency rates are presented.
- If the group's average rate is 0.9 or higher, the change score is adjusted to be equal to the highest change score observed for that subgroup at any district in the state, indicated by an exclamation point in the Change Score column. See link above for details.

#### **About Supergroups**

Supergroups are a way to look at closing gaps among groups of students that would ordinarily be too small to include. A supergroup is made up of all the students that belong to any of the groups in the supergroup:

- "All 3" Supergroup: students with disabilities and/or economically disadvantaged and/or limited English proficient students.
- "SwD-ECD" Supergroup: students with disabilities and/or economically disadvantaged students.
- "SwD-LEP" Supergroup: students with disabilities and/or limited English proficient students.
- "ECD-LEP" Supergroup: economically disadvantaged and/or limited English proficient students.

A supergroup is used to evaluate Closing Gaps only when there are fewer than 20 students in each of the individual groups within the supergroup, but more than 20 students in the supergroup. For example, if a district had fewer than 20 students with disabilities and fewer than 20 economically disadvantaged students, but more than 20 students when those groups are combined, the "SwD-ECD" supergroup would be used to evaluate Closing Gaps. Students are not double counted in a supergroup. In the example above, an economically disadvantaged student with a disability is only counted once in the supergroup.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness

### **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness**

#### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to give districts an indication of how successfully students are achieving educational milestones that predict postsecondary readiness for college and career.

#### What is being measured?

This Priority Area has two parts. The first part includes both graduation rate and attendance rate. The second is a set of measures that include third-grade reading achievement, eighth- grade mathematics achievement, and ACT participation and performance, as applicable to the district. The scores for these two parts are added to produce the Priority Area score.

#### What can the data tell us?

Graduation rates measure a key education milestone. Attendance rate is an important prerequisite for student learning.

Third-grade reading ability is linked to high school performance, graduation, and college enrollment for Wisconsin students. Eighth-grade mathematics ability predicts success in high school mathematics. These are important metrics for districts to monitor.

The ACT exam is a widely used and trusted measure of readiness for college coursework. ACT results can help districts understand how well they are preparing students for credit-bearing coursework in college.

In the future, other indicators may be incorporated into this Priority Area as we find better ways to measure whether students are on the right trajectory for college and career readiness.

#### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See: <u>http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</u>.

#### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for student groups, or both?

The On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness score is based on the "all students" group for Graduation, ACT Participation and Performance, Third-Grade Reading Achievement, and Eighth-Grade Mathematics Achievement, and based on the average of the "all students" group and the student subgroup with the lowest rate for Attendance.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness

### **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness**

### Total Score: 86.8/100

#### 2011-12 Attendance Score: 37.0/40

Group	Enrollment	Attended Days	Possible Days	Rate
All Students	2,846	477,320.0	503,841.0	94.7%
Lowest Group: Black Students	53	8,092.0	8,956.0	90.4%

#### 2011-12 Graduation Score: 37.0/40

	Four-Yea	r Cohort Graduati	on Rate	Six-Year	Cohort Graduatio	on Rate
Group	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate
All Students	235	207	88.1%	235	228	97.0%

#### **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness Supplemental Data**

Group performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine the On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness scores used in the accountability system.

	Four-Yea	ar Cohort Gradua	tion Rate	Six-Year Cohort Graduation Rate				
Group	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	2	100.0%	0	0	NA		
Asian or Pacific Islander	3	2	66.7%	4	3	75.0%		
Black not Hispanic	6	4	66.7%	2	1	50.0%		
Hispanic	14	8	57.1%	15	14	93.3%		
White not Hispanic	210	191	91.0%	214	210	98.1%		
Students with Disabilities	5	1	20.0%	27	26	96.3%		
Economically Disadvantaged	69	58	84.1%	45	42	93.3%		
Limited English Proficient	6	2	33.3%	4	3	75.0%		

#### 2011-12 ACT Participation and Performance Score: 6.0/10

	Enrolled	Tes	ted	Met Reading Benchmark		Met English Benchmark		Met Mathematics Benchmark		Met Science Benchmark	
Group	Students	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	Students	Percent
12th Graders	243	111	45.7%	82	73.9%	91	82.0%	63	56.8%	47	42.3%

#### Notes

- Details on On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness calculations can be found at <a href="http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability">http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</a> .
- Only districts with a 12th grade will earn an ACT Participation and Performance score.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness

### **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness**

### Total Score: 86.8/100

			2010-11			2011-12			2012-13	
Performance	Points	Stud	lents		Stu	dents		Stud	lents	
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points
Advanced	1.5	17	9.6%	25.5	15	8.3%	22.5	22	11.3%	33
Proficient	1	45	25.3%	45	60	33.3%	60	56	28.9%	56
Basic	0.5	58	32.6%	29	55	30.6%	27.5	67	34.5%	33.5
Minimal Performance	0	58	32.6%	0	50	27.8%	0	49	25.3%	0
Total Tested	-	178	100.0%	99.5	180	100.0%	110	194	100.0%	122.5

#### 2012-13 3rd Grade Reading Achievement Score: 3.0/5

#### 2012-13 8th Grade Mathematics Achievement Score: 3.8/5

			2010-11			2011-12		2012-13			
Performance	Points	Students			Stud	Students		Students			
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	
Advanced	1.5	16	8.9%	24	33	17.2%	49.5	24	14.0%	36	
Proficient	1	81	45.3%	81	63	32.8%	63	64	37.4%	64	
Basic	0.5	55	30.7%	27.5	70	36.5%	35	58	33.9%	29	
Minimal Performance	0	27	15.1%	0	26	13.5%	0	25	14.6%	0	
Total Tested	-	179	100.0%	132.5	192	100.0%	147.5	171	100.0%	129	

#### Notes

- Details on On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness calculations can be found at http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability .
- 3rd Grade Reading and 8th Grade Mathematics Scores are determined in the same way as for the Student Achievement Priority Area except that if there are fewer than 20 students in the most recent year, then the most recent two years of data are combined so that the cell size requirement is met.
- Student achievement is based on Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) results for full academic year (FAY) students.
- Points displayed in the tables above are weighted so that higher performance levels, larger numbers of students, and more recent years contribute more to the score for the Priority Area.
- Performance levels have been retroactively adjusted to align WKCE results with National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) college and career readiness benchmarks. Counts and percents of students scoring at each performance level will not agree with results reported prior to 2011-12.



District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Student Engagement Indicators

### **Student Engagement Indicators**

### Goals Met: 3/3

Both one-year and three-year rates are considered for Test Participation, Absenteeism, and Dropout rates. if either the one-year or three-year rate meets the goal then no points are deducted. The three-year rate is based on two years of data when three years are not available.

Indicator	Goal	<b>One-Year District Rate</b>	Three-Year District Rate	Points Deducted
Test Participation Lowest Group Rate	95% or Greater	99.5%	97.2%	0
Absenteeism Rate	Less than 13%	5.1%	5.4%	0
Dropout Rate	Less than 6%	0.2%	0.4%	0

#### **Student Engagement Indicators Data**

The lowest group test participation rate in the table below is used to determine whether the district met the Test Participation Rate goal. For a district to meet the participation goal, it must meet either the current-year goal or the three-year goal. To meet the one-year goal, each subgroup must have a one-year participation rate of at least 95% in both mathematics and reading. To meet the three-year goal, each subgroup must have a three-year participation rate of at least 95% in both mathematics and reading. Group performance for Absenteeism Rate and Dropout Rate is provided below for informational purposes only and is not used to determine whether these goals have been met.

			Test	Partici	pation	Rate			At	sentee	eism Ra	ate		Dropo	ut Rate	9
		One	Year			Three Year			One Year		Three Year		One Year		Three Year	
Group	Reading Students	Reading Rate	Mathematics Students	Mathematics Rate	Reading Students	Reading Rate	Mathematics Students	Mathematics Rate	Students	Rate	Students	Rate	Students	Rate	Students	Rate
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	13	15.4%	44	20.5%	10	0.0%	33	0.0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	24	100.0%	24	100.0%	60	100.0%	60	100.0%	40	0.0%	114	0.9%	18	0.0%	56	1.8%
Black not Hispanic	23	100.0%	23	100.0%	72	97.2%	72	97.2%	50	16.0%	136	8.8%	27	0.0%	66	4.5%
Hispanic	175	100.0%	175	100.0%	483	100.0%	483	100.0%	305	3.9%	866	5.7%	101	1.0%	295	1.0%
White not Hispanic	1189	99.9%	1189	99.9%	3581	99.7%	3581	99.6%	2392	5.1%	7170	5.3%	1224	0.2%	3630	0.2%
Students with Disabilities	203	99.5%	203	99.5%	612	98.7%	612	98.0%	377	8.0%	1206	9.9%	166	0.0%	527	0.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	563	100.0%	563	100.0%	1667	99.5%	1667	99.5%	1114	7.5%	3103	8.4%	472	0.2%	1266	0.3%
Limited English Proficient	118	100.0%	118	100.0%	331	99.1%	331	100.0%	157	3.8%	505	5.9%	38	2.6%	127	1.6%

#### Notes

- Details on Student Engagement Indicator calculations can be found at <a href="http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability">http://acct.dpi.wi.gov/acct\_accountability</a> .
- All districts are expected to meet Student Engagement Indicator goals in these three areas. The overall accountability score is reduced by five points if Absenteeism Rate and Dropout Rate goals are not met. The overall accountability score is reduced by five points if the Test Participation Rate (for lowest group) is below 95%, and reduced by 10 points if below 85%.
- Test Participation Rate (for lowest group) is rounded to the nearest whole number before comparison with the goal. Absenteeism Rate and the Dropout Rate are not rounded.
- Test Participation Rate is based on both the Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment for Students with Disabilities (WAA-SwD).
- Absenteeism Rate is the percent of students who are chronically absent (absent at least 16% of the time).

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Assessment Trends

### **District Results: Wisconsin Student Assessment System**

The data below are provided for informational purposes only and are not used to calculate a district's Accountability Score. The data below include both WKCE (Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examinations) and WAA-SwD (Wisconsin Alternate Assessment for Students with Disabilities) results.

Performance levels have been retroactively adjusted to align WKCE reading and mathematics results with college and career readiness benchmarks based on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) performance levels. Performance levels for WAA-SwD have not been adjusted. Counts and percents of students scoring at each performance level will not agree with results reported prior to 2011-12.

					Reading	:				
	200	8-09	200	9-10	201	0-11	201	1-12	2012-13	
Grade	Students Enrolled	Proficient and Advanced								
3	188	37.8%	169	34.3%	178	34.8%	180	41.7%	194	40.2%
4	169	31.4%	188	43.6%	164	40.9%	183	35.5%	184	44.0%
5	178	31.5%	172	30.8%	189	40.7%	165	36.4%	188	34.6%
6	177	34.5%	181	38.7%	171	33.3%	191	36.6%	163	35.6%
7	201	41.3%	180	42.8%	182	31.3%	172	39.0%	188	44.1%
8	189	30.7%	202	39.1%	179	43.6%	192	36.5%	171	42.1%
10	218	34.4%	215	41.4%	217	27.2%	235	54.9%	229	39.3%

	Mathematics											
	200	8-09	200	9-10	201	.0-11	20:	11-12	2012-13			
Grade	Students Enrolled	Proficient and Advanced										
3	188	58.0%	169	50.3%	179	48.6%	180	54.4%	194	56.2%		
4	169	53.8%	188	61.7%	164	62.8%	183	61.7%	184	56.0%		
5	178	47.2%	172	51.7%	189	61.9%	165	56.4%	188	56.4%		
6	177	42.4%	181	43.6%	171	49.1%	191	50.3%	163	57.1%		
7	201	57.7%	180	53.9%	182	48.4%	166	47.6%	188	53.7%		
8	189	47.1%	201	52.7%	179	54.2%	192	50.0%	171	51.5%		
10	219	42.0%	216	38.4%	218	40.4%	235	55.3%	229	46.7%		

### State Results: National Assessment of Educational Progress 2011

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is administered to 4th and 8th grade students every two years in a representative sample of schools nationwide. These data are provided for informational purposes only and are not used to calculate a district's Accountability Score.

Group	4th Grade Proficient ar	e Percent nd Advanced	8th Grade Percent Proficient and Advanced				
	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading			
Wisconsin	47%	34%	41%	34%			
Nation	40%	32%	34%	32%			

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



**Fort Atkinson** District Report Card Detail | 2012-13 | Annual Measurable Objectives

### **Annual Measurable Objectives**

The U.S. Department of Education requires Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) for all students and student groups for reading proficiency, mathematics proficiency, high school graduation rate, and attendance rate. The data below are provided for informational purposes and are not used to calculate a district's Accountability Score.

		WS	AS Proficie	nt or Advar	nced		⊳	_
		2012-13		2011-12	2 and 2012-13	Average	АМО	Met
Group	Students Tested	Proficient and Advanced	Percent	Students Tested	Proficient and Advanced	Percent	Target	Target
All Students	1317	527	40.0%	2635	1063	40.4%	40.3%	Yes
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian or Pacific Islander	22	4	18.2%	NA	NA	NA	36.8%	No
Black not Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic	154	23	14.9%	290	53	18.3%	28.0%	No
White not Hispanic	1123	497	44.3%	2261	992	43.9%	44.4%	Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	179	38	21.2%	365	69	18.9%	25.8%	Yes-Cl
Economically Disadvantaged	499	123	24.6%	1001	259	25.9%	29.8%	No
Limited English Proficient	106	9	8.5%	202	19	9.4%	23.0%	No

#### **Reading Proficiency**

#### **Mathematics Proficiency**

		WS	AS Proficie	nt or Advar	nced		ъ	-	
		2012-13		2011-12	2 and 2012-13	Average	AMO	Met	
Group	Students Tested			Students Tested	Proficient and Advanced	Percent	Target	Target	
All Students	1317	707	53.7%	2629	1412	53.7%	52.9%	Yes	
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Asian or Pacific Islander	22	11	50.0%	NA	NA	NA	54.0%	Yes-Cl	
Black not Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Hispanic	154	70	45.5%	290	122	42.1%	39.9%	Yes	
White not Hispanic	1123	619	55.1%	2255	1257	55.8%	57.5%	Yes-Cl	
Students with Disabilities	179	56	31.3%	360	98	27.2%	35.6%	Yes-Cl	
Economically Disadvantaged	499	209	41.9%	998	401	40.2%	41.4%	Yes	
Limited English Proficient	106	44	41.5%	202	73	36.1%	37.8%	Yes	

#### Notes

- Under Met Target, "Yes-CI" stands for Yes Confidence Interval, meaning the group met its AMO target within a 95 percent confidence interval.
- Two-year average is only calculated when both years meet group size requirement.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

Page **19** 



District Report Card Detail | 2011-12 | Annual Measurable Objectives

### **Annual Measurable Objectives**

		Att	endance I	Rate								
			201	1-12								
Group	Enrollment	Attended Days	Possible Days	Rate	Improvement	Improvement Target	AMO Target	Met Target				
All Students	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA				
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Asian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Black not Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not applicab	le - attendance	target for All				
White not Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	St	udents group or	nly.				
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-						
Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							

#### **Graduation Rate**

				Four-Year C	ohort Rate				Six-Y	ear Cohort	Rate	
		2011-12		2010-11 a	2010-11 and 2011-12 Average			Improvement		2011-12		
Group	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Percent	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Percent	Change	Target	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Percent	Met Target
All Students	235	207	88.1%	479	435	90.8%	-5.3%	NA	235	228	97%	Yes
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Asian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Black not Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hispanic	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
White not Hispanic	210	191	91%	432	401	92.8%	-3.6%	NA	214	210	98.1%	Yes
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	27	26	96.3%	Yes
Economically Disadvantaged	69	58	84.1%	132	114	86.4%	-4.8%	NA	45	42	93.3%	Yes
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

#### Notes

• The graduation rate target for the All Students group and each student group is 85 percent. The attendance rate target for the All Students group is 85 percent.

• The Graduation Rate Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is based on three goals: 1) Four-year cohort graduation rate goal (most recent year or average of two most recent years); 2) Four-year cohort graduation rate improvement goal; and 3) Six-year cohort graduation rate goal. If any one of these goals is met, then the AMO is met.

• The Attendance Rate AMO is only applied when the Graduation Rate AMO is not applicable.

• NA indicates that the student group is too small to receive an AMO determination.

• For more information about Annual Measurable Objectives see: <a href="http://oea.dpi.wi.gov/oea\_amo">http://oea.dpi.wi.gov/oea\_amo</a>.

### Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov